Finite State Transducers

Data structures and algorithms
for Computational Linguistics III

Çağrı Çöltekin
`ccoltekin@sfs.uni-tuebingen.de`

University of Tübingen
Seminar für Sprachwissenschaft

Winter Semester 2019–2020

# Finite state transducers
A quick introduction

- A *finite state transducer* (FST) is a finite state machine where transitions are conditioned on a pair of symbols
- The machine moves between the states based on input symbol, while it outputs the corresponding output symbol
- An FST encodes a *relation*, a mapping from a set to another
- The relation defined by an FST is called a *regular* (or *rational*) relation

Finite state transducers
A quick introduction

- A *finite state transducer* (FST) is a finite state machine where transitions are conditioned on a pair of symbols
- The machine moves between the states based on input symbol, while it outputs the corresponding output symbol
- An FST encodes a *relation*, a mapping from a set to another
- The relation defined by an FST is called a *regular* (or *rational*) relation

$$babba \rightarrow babbb$$

$$aba \rightarrow bbb$$

$$aba \rightarrow abb$$

Formal definition

A finite state transducer is a tuple $(\Sigma_i, \Sigma_o, Q, q_0, F, \Delta)$

Σ_i is the *input* alphabet

Σ_o is the *output* alphabet

Q a finite set of states

q_0 is the start state, $q_0 \in Q$

F is the set of accepting states, $F \subseteq Q$

Δ is a relation $(\Delta : Q \times \Sigma_i \rightarrow Q \times \Sigma_o)$

Where do we use FSTs?
Uses in NLP/CL

- Morphological analysis
- Spelling correction
- Transliteration
- Speech recognition
- Grapheme-to-phoneme mapping
- Normalization
- Tokenization
- POS tagging (not typical, but done)
- partial parsing / chunking
- ...

Where do we use FSTs?

example 1: morphological analysis

In this lecture, we treat an FSA as a simple FST that outputs its input: edge label 'a' is a shorthand for 'a:a'.

Where do we use FSTs?

example 2: POS tagging / shallow parsing

Note: (1) It is important to express the ambiguity. (2) This gets interesting if we can 'compose' these automata.

Closure properties of FSTs

Like FSA, FSTs are closed under some operations.

- Concatenation
- Kleene star
- ~~Complement~~
- Reversal
- Union
- ~~Intersection~~
- *Inversion*
- *Composition*

FST inversion

- Since FST encodes a relation, it can be reversed
- Inverse of an FST swaps the input symbols with output symbols
- We indicate inverse of an FST M with M^{-1}

FST composition
sequential application

$$aa \quad \xrightarrow{\text{M}_1}$$
$$bb \quad \xrightarrow{\text{M}_1}$$
$$aaaa \quad \xrightarrow{\text{M}_1}$$
$$abaa \quad \xrightarrow{\text{M}_1}$$

FST composition
sequential application

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
aa & \xrightarrow{M_1} & bb & \xrightarrow{M_2} \\
bb & \xrightarrow{M_1} & \varnothing & \xrightarrow{M_2} \\
aaaa & \xrightarrow{M_1} & baab & \xrightarrow{M_2} \\
abaa & \xrightarrow{M_1} & bbab & \xrightarrow{M_2}
\end{array}
$$

FST composition
sequential application

$$\xrightarrow{\quad M_1 \circ M_2 \quad}$$

|				
aa	$\xrightarrow{M_1}$	bb	$\xrightarrow{M_2}$	bb
bb	$\xrightarrow{M_1}$	$\varnothing$	$\xrightarrow{M_2}$	$\varnothing$
$aaaa$	$\xrightarrow{M_1}$	$baab$	$\xrightarrow{M_2}$	$baac$
$abaa$	$\xrightarrow{M_1}$	$bbab$	$\xrightarrow{M_2}$	$bbac$

- Can we compose without running the FSTs sequentially?

FST composition

FST composition

FST composition

FST composition

FST composition

FST composition

Projection

- *Projection* turns an FST into a FSA, accepting either the input language or the output language

FST determinization

- A deterministic FST has unambiguous transitions from every state on any *input* symbol
- We can extend the subset construction to FSTs
- Determinization often means converting to a *subsequential* FST
- However, not all FSTs can be determinized

FST determinization

- A deterministic FST has unambiguous transitions from every state on any *input* symbol
- We can extend the subset construction to FSTs
- Determinization often means converting to a *subsequential* FST
- However, not all FSTs can be determinized

Is this FST deterministic?

Sequential FSTs

- A sequential FST has a single transition from each state on every *input* symbol
- Output symbols can be strings, as well as ϵ
- The recognition is linear in the length of input
- However, sequential FSTs do not allow ambiguity

Subsequential FSTs

- A *k-subsequential* FST is a sequential FST which can output up to k strings at an accepting state
- Subsequential transducers allow limited ambiguity
- Recognition time is still linear

- The 2-subsequential FST above maps every string it accepts to two strings, e.g.,
  - baa → bba
  - baa → bbbb

An exercise

Convert the following FST to a subsequential FST

An exercise

Convert the following FST to a subsequential FST

Determinizing FSTs

Another example

Can you convert the following FST to a subsequential FST?

Determinizing FSTs

Another example

Can you convert the following FST to a subsequential FST?

Note that we cannot 'determine' the output on first input, until reaching the final input.

FSA vs FST

- FSA are *acceptors*, FSTs are *transducers*
- FSA accept or reject their input, FSTs produce output(s) for the inputs they accept
- FSA define sets, FSTs define relations between sets
- FSTs share many properties of FSAs. However,
    - FSTs are not closed under intersection and complement
    - We can compose (and invert) the FSTs
    - Determinizing FSTs is not always possible
- Both FSA and FSTs can be weighted (not covered in this course)

Next

- Practical applications of finite-state machines
  - String search (FSA)
  - Finite-state morphology (FST)
- Dependency grammars and dependency parsing
- Constituency (context-free) parsing

References / additional reading material

- Jurafsky and Martin (2009, Ch. 3)
- Additional references include:
  - Roche and Schabes (1996) and Roche and Schabes (1997): FSTs and their use in NLP
  - Mohri (2009): weighted FSTs

References / additional reading material (cont.)

Jurafsky, Daniel and James H. Martin (2009). *Speech and Language Processing: An Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics, and Speech Recognition*. second. Pearson Prentice Hall. ISBN: 978-0-13-504196-3.

Mohri, Mehryar (2009). "Weighted automata algorithms". In: *Handbook of Weighted Automata*. Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science. Springer, pp. 213–254.

Roche, Emmanuel and Yves Schabes (1996). *Introduction to Finite-State Devices in Natural Language Processing Technical Report*. Tech. rep. TR96-13. Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories. URL: http://www.merl.com/publications/docs/TR96-13.pdf.

Roche, Emmanuel and Yves Schabes (1997). *Finite-state Language Processing*. A Bradford book. MIT Press. ISBN: 9780262181822.