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* Disjoint Partitions and Union-Find Structures


## Minimum Spanning Tree - Sample Problem

- Suppose a company needs to connect all the computers in a new office building using the least amount of cable
- Model the problem using an undirected weighted graph $G$ :
- The vertices represent the computers
- The edges represent all the possible pairs ( $u, v$ ) of computers, where the weight $w(u, v)$ of the edge is the amount of cable needed to connect computers $u$ and $v$
- Not interested in the shortest path between $u$ and $v$ - rather, in finding a tree $T$, containing all the vertices in $G$, with minimum weight (minimum sum of the edge weights) over all the possible trees


## Minimum Spanning Tree - Terminology

- Spanning subgraph
- Subgraph of a graph $G$ containing all the vertices of $G$
- Spanning tree
- Spanning subgraph that is a tree (no cycles)
- Minimum spanning tree (MST)
- Spanning tree of a weighted graph with minimum total edge weight



## Minimum Spanning Tree

- Given an undirected, weighted graph $G$, find a tree $T$ that contains all the vertices of $G$ and minimizes the sum

$$
w(T)=\sum_{(u, v) \operatorname{in} T} w(u, v)
$$

- Computing a spanning tree with the smallest total weight is known as the minimum spanning tree (MST) problem
- Two algorithms for computing the MST of a graph:
- The Prim-Jarník algorithm, which "grows" the MST from a single root vertex (similar to Dijkstra's algorithm)
- Kruskal's algorithm, which "grows" the MST in clusters by considering edges in nondecreasing order of their weights
- Both greedy algorithms - the next edge to be added has to minimize the total cost


## Minimum Spanning Tree - Prequel

- Simplifying assumptions:
- The graph $G$ is undirected
- The graph $G$ is simple (it has no self-loops or parallel edges)


## Minimum Spanning Tree - Prequel (2)

- Proposition. Let $G$ be a weighted connected graph, and $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be a partition of the vertices of $G$ into two disjoint, non-empty sets. Also, let $e$ be an edge in $G$ with minimum weight among those edges of $G$ that have an endpoint in $V_{1}$ and another one in $V_{2}$. There is a minimum spanning tree $T$ that has $e$ as one of its edges.



## Minimum Spanning Tree - Prequel (3)

- Justification.
- Let $T$ be a minimum spanning tree of $G$.
- If $T$ does not contain edge $e$, then the addition of $e$ to $T$ must create a cycle.
- Therefore, there is an edge $f \neq e$ in this cycle with one endpoint in $V_{1}$ and another endpoint in $V_{2}$
- $w(e) \leq w(f)$ - because $e$ was chosen to be the minimum weight edge between those with an edge in $V_{1}$ and another edge in $V_{2}$
- If $f$ is removed from $T \cup\{e\}$, then the new minimum spanning tree obtained has a total weight that is not larger than the weight of $T$
- Since $T$ was a minimum spanning tree, the new tree must also be a minimum spanning tree.


## Minimum Spanning Tree - Prequel (4)

- The proposition is valid even if $G$ has negative weights or negative-weight cycles
- If the weights of the graph are distinct, then there is an unique minimum spanning tree
- Otherwise $G$ has multiple minimum spanning trees


# Prim-Jarník Algorithm 

## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Intuition

- Grow a minimum spanning tree from a single cluster, starting from a "root" vertex $s$
- Similar to Dijkstra's algorithm:
- Begin with a vertex $s$, which becomes the initial "cloud" of vertices $C$
- At each iteration, choose a minimum-weight edge $e=(u, v)$, connecting a vertex $u$ from the "cloud" $C$ to a vertex $v$ outside of $C$
- The vertex $v$ is brought into $C$ - for each vertex we store the label $D[v]$ representing the smallest weight of an edge connecting $v$ to a vertex in $C$
- The iterative process is repeated until a spanning tree is formed
- The validity of this approach rests on the property presented before - the vertices in the "cloud" and the vertices outside of it form the two sets of vertices, $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$
- Whenever we add a new edge of minimum weight, we are adding a valid edge to the minimum spanning tree


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Pseudocode

```
Algorithm PrimJarnik(G):
    Input: An undirected, weighted, connected graph }G\mathrm{ with }n\mathrm{ vertices and }m\mathrm{ edges
    Output: A minimum spanning tree T for G
Pick any vertex s of G
D[s]=0
for each vertex v}v=s\mathrm{ do
        D[v]=\infty
Initialize T=\emptyset.
Initialize a priority queue Q with an entry (D[v],(v,None)) for each vertex v,
where D[v] is the key in the priority queue, and ( v,None) is the associated value.
while}Q\mathrm{ is not empty do
    (u,e) = value returned by Q.remove_min()
    Connect vertex }u\mathrm{ to T using edge e}
    for each edge }\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}=(u,v)\mathrm{ such that v}\mathrm{ is in Q do
            {check if edge (u,v) better connects v to T}
        if }w(u,v)<D[v] then
            D[v] =w(u,v)
            Change the key of vertex v in Q to D[v].
            Change the value of vertex v in Q to (v, e').
return the tree T
```


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example



|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\infty$ | (BOS, None) |  |
| 0 | (PVD, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (JFK, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (BWI, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (MIA, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (ORD, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (DFW, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (SFO, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (LAX, None) |  |

- Start vertex is PVD, the only one with length 0


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example



|  | PQ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\infty$ | $($ BOS, None $)$ |  |
| 144 | $(J F K,($ PVD, JFK)) |  |
| $\infty$ | $($ BWI, None $)$ |  |
| $\infty$ | (MIA, None) |  |
| 849 | $($ ORD,(PVD, ORD)) |  |
| $\infty$ | (DFW, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (SFO, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | (LAX, None) |  |

- Remove vertex with minimum distance, PVD, from PQ
- Update the length of the paths from PVD to all adjacent vertices that are still in PQ
- To ORD (was $\infty$, now 849)
- To JFK (was $\infty$, now 144)


|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 187 | $(\mathrm{BOS},(\mathrm{JFK}, \mathrm{BOS}))$ | $($ PVD, JFK) |
| 184 | $(\mathrm{BWI},(\mathrm{JFK}, \mathrm{BWI}))$ |  |
| 1090 | $(\mathrm{MIA},(\mathrm{JFK}, \mathrm{MIA}))$ |  |
| 740 | $(\mathrm{ORD},(\mathrm{JFK}, \mathrm{ORD}))$ |  |
| 1391 | $(\mathrm{DFW},(\mathrm{JFK}, \mathrm{DFW}))$ |  |
| $\infty$ | $(\mathrm{SFO}$, None) |  |
| $\infty$ | $($ LAX, None $)$ |  |

- Remove vertex with minimum distance, JFK, from PQ
- Add min weight edge (PVD, JFK) to tree
- Update the length of the paths from JFK to all adjacent vertices that are still in PQ
- To ORD (was 849, now 740)
- To BOS (was $\infty$, now 187)
- To MIA (was $\infty$, now 1090)
- To DFW (was $\infty$, now 1391)
- To BWI (was $\infty$, now 184)

Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example


Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example


|  | PQ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 187 | $($ BOS,(JFK,BOS)) | (PVD, JFK) |
| 946 | (MIA,(BWI, MIA)) | (JFK, BWI) |
| 621 | $($ ORD,(BWI, ORD)) |  |
| 1391 | (DFW,(JFK, DFW)) |  |
| $\infty$ | $($ SFO, None $)$ |  |
| $\infty$ | (LAX, None) |  |

- Update the length of the paths from BWI to all adjacent vertices that are still in PQ
- To ORD (was 740, now 621)
- To MIA (was 1090, now 946)

Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example


Minieir

Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example


|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 946 | $(M I A,(B W I, M I A))$ | $(P V D, J F K)$ |
| 621 | $(O R D,(B W I, O R D))$ | $(J F K, B W I)$ |
| 1391 | $(D F W,(J F K, D F W))$ | $(J F K, B O S)$ |
| 2704 | $(S F O,(B O S$, SFO)) |  |
| $\infty$ | $(L A X$, None $)$ |  |

- Update the length of the paths from BOS to all adjacent vertices that are still in PQ
- To ORD (was 621, remains - 867 not better)
- To MIA (was 946, remains - 1258 not better)
- To SFO (was $\infty$, now 2704)




# Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example 



|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 946 | $(\mathrm{MIA},(\mathrm{BWI}, \mathrm{MIA}))$ | $($ PVD, JFK) |
| 1846 | $(\mathrm{SFO},(\mathrm{BOS}, \mathrm{SFO}))$ | $(\mathrm{JFK}, \mathrm{BWI})$ |
| $\infty$ | $($ LAX, None $)$ | $(\mathrm{JFK}, \mathrm{BOS})$ |
|  |  | $(\mathrm{BWI}, \mathrm{ORD})$ |
|  |  | $(\mathrm{ORD}, \mathrm{DFW})$ |

- Remove vertex with minimum distance, DFW, from PQ
- Add min weight edge (ORD, DFW) to tree


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example



|  | PQ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 946 | (MIA,(BWI, MIA)) | (PVD, JFK) |
| 1464 | $(\mathrm{SFO},(\mathrm{DFW}, \mathrm{SFO}))$ | (JFK, BWI) |
| 1235 | $(\mathrm{LAX},(\mathrm{DFW}, \mathrm{LAX}))$ | $(\mathrm{JFK}, \mathrm{BOS})$ |
|  |  | (BWI,ORD) |
|  |  | $(\mathrm{ORD,DFW)}$ |

- Update the length of the paths from DFW to all adjacent vertices that are still in PQ
- To MIA (was 946, remains - 1121 not better)
- To SFO (was 1846, now 1464)
- To LAX (was $\infty$, now 1235)



## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example



1464 (SFO,(DFW, SFO)) (PVD, JFK)
1235 (LAX,(DFW, LAX)) (JFK, BWI) (JFK, BOS) (BWI,ORD) (ORD,DFW) (BWI, MIA)

- Update the length of the paths from MIA to all adjacent vertices that are still in PQ
- To LAX (was 1235 - remains, 2342 is greater)


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example



| PQ |  | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1464 | (SFO,(DFW, SFO)) | (PVD, JFK) |
|  |  | (JFK, BWI) |
|  |  | (JFK, BOS) |
|  |  | (BWI,ORD) |
|  |  | (ORD,DFW) |
|  |  | (BWI, MIA) |
|  |  | (DFW, LAX) |

- Remove vertex with minimum distance, LAX, from PQ
- Add min weight edge (DFW, LAX) to tree


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example



- Update the length of the paths from DFW to all adjacent vertices that are still in PQ
- To SFO (was 1464, now 337)


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Example



Tree
(PVD, JFK) (JFK, BWI) (JFK, BOS) (BWI,ORD) (ORD,DFW) (BWI, MIA) (DFW, LAX) (LAX, SFO)

- Remove vertex with minimum distance, SFO, from PQ
- Add min weight edge (LAX, SFO) to tree
- No more edges in the PQ, STOP.


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Running Time Analysis

- The implementation of the algorithm relies, just like Dijkstra's algorithm, on the adaptable priority queue
- Initially, all $n$ vertices are added to the PQ - $n$ PQ insertions
- Each vertex is removed from the $P Q$ via a remove_min operation - $n P Q$ remove_min
- Throughout the algorithm, at most $m \mathrm{PQ}$ update operations are performed
- With a heap-based PQ , the insert, remove_min and update operations need $O(\log n)$ time
- The overall running time is $O((n+m) \log n)$
- Using an unsorted list implementation of a priority queue the algorithm achieves an $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ running time


## Prim-Jarník Algorithm - Python Implementation

```
```

def MST_PrimJarnik(g):

```
```

```
```

def MST_PrimJarnik(g):

```
```

""" Compute a minimum spanning tree of weighted graph g .
Return a list of edges that comprise the MST (in arbitrary order).
"""
$\mathrm{d}=\{ \} \quad$ \# d $[\mathrm{v}]$ is bound on distance to tree
tree $=[] \quad$ \# list of edges in spanning tree
$\mathrm{pq}=$ AdaptableHeapPriorityQueue( ) $\quad \# \mathrm{~d}[\mathrm{v}]$ maps to value $(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{e}=(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}))$
pqlocator $=\{ \} \quad$ \# map from vertex to its pq locator
\# for each vertex vof the graph, add an entry to the priority queue, with 20
\# the source having distance 0 and all others having infinite distance 21
for v in g.vertices( ): $\quad 22$
if len $(\mathrm{d})=0$ : $\quad$ \# this is the first node 23
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=0 \quad$ \# make it the root 24
else:
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{float}\left(\right.$ 'inf $\left.^{\prime}\right)$
pqlocator $[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{pq} \cdot \operatorname{add}(\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}],(\mathrm{v}$, None $))$
\# positive infinity $\quad 26$
26
27
28
29
30
33

```
while not pq.is_empty():
    key,value \(=\) pq.remove_min()
    u,edge \(=\) value \(\quad \#\) unpack tuple from pq
    del pqlocator[u]
    if edge is not None:
        tree.append(edge) \# add edge to tree
    for link in g.incident_edges(u):
        \(\mathrm{v}=\) link.opposite(u)
        if \(v\) in pqlocator: \# thus \(v\) not yet in tree
        \# see if edge ( \(u, v\) ) better connects \(v\) to the growing tree
        \(\mathrm{wgt}=\) link.element()
        if wgt \(<\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]\) : \# better edge to v ?
            \(\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{wgt} \quad\) \# update the distance
            pq.update(pqlocator[v], d[v], (v, link)) \# update the pq entry
return tree
```


## Kruskal's Algorithm

## Kruskal's Algorithm - Intuition

- In contrast to the Prim-Jarník algorithm, which grows an MST from a single starting vertex, Kruskal's algorithm maintains a forest of clusters - repeatedly merges pairs of clusters until a single cluster spans the graph
- Initially, each vertex is by itself in a cluster
- For each edge, edges considered in order of increasing weight:
- If an edge connects two clusters, then add $e$ to the set of edges of the MST and merge the clusters
- If $e$ connects two vertices from the same cluster, discard $e$
- The algorithm terminates when it has found enough edges to form a MST
- For a graph with $n$ vertices, $n-1$ edges are needed to form a MST


## Kruskal's Algorithm - Pseudocode

## Algorithm Kruskal( $G$ ):

Input: A simple connected weighted graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges
Output: A minimum spanning tree $T$ for $G$
for each vertex $v$ in $G$ do
Define an elementary cluster $C(v)=\{v\}$.
Initialize a priority queue $Q$ to contain all edges in $G$, using the weights as keys.
$T=\emptyset$
$\{T$ will ultimately contain the edges of the MST $\}$
while $T$ has fewer than $n-1$ edges do
$(u, v)=$ value returned by $Q$. remove_min ()
Let $C(u)$ be the cluster containing $u$, and let $C(v)$ be the cluster containing $v$.
if $C(u) \neq C(v)$ then
Add edge ( $u, v$ ) to $T$.
Merge $C(u)$ and $C(v)$ into one cluster.
return tree $T$

## Kruskal's Algorithm - Why It Works

- The correctness of Kruskal's algorithm is based, again, on the proposition from the introduction
- Each time an edge $e=(u, v)$ is added to the MST, a partitioning of the vertices in $V$ can be constructed having the cluster containing $v$ on one side ( $V_{1}$ ), and a cluster containing the rest of the vertices in $V$ on the other side $\left(V_{2}\right)$
- This defines a disjoint partitioning of the vertices of $V$
- Since edges are considered in increasing weight order, an edge $e$ with an endpoint in $V_{1}$ and another endpoint in $V_{2}$ must be a minimum-weight edge - thus Kruskal's algorithm will always add a valid edge to the MST


## Kruskal's Algorithm - Example



| $P Q$ |  | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 144 | (JFK, PVD) |  |
| 184 | (BWI, JFK) |  |
| 187 | (JFK, BOS) |  |
| 337 | (SFO, LAX) |  |
| 621 | (BWI, ORD) |  |
| 740 | (ORD, JFK) |  |
| 802 | (DFW, ORD) |  |
| 849 | (ORD, PWD) |  |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) |  |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) |  |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) |  |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) |  |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) |  |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) |  |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) |  |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Initially, every node is in its own cluster

Kruskal's Algorithm - Example


|  | $P Q$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 144 | $(J F K$, PVD) |
| 184 | (BWI, JFK) |
| 187 | (JFK, BOS) |
| 337 | $($ SFO, LAX $)$ |
| 621 | (BWI, ORD) |
| 740 | (ORD, JFK) |
| 802 | (DFW, ORD) |
| 849 | (ORD, PWD) |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) |
| 1090 | (DFW, MIA) |
| 1121 | (LAX, DFW) |
| 1235 | (MIA, BOS) |
| 1258 | (DFW, JFK) |
| 1391 | (SFO, DFW) |
| 1464 | (SFO, ORD) |
| 1846 | (SFO, BOS) |
| 2704 | (LAX, MIA) |
| 2342 |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (JFK, PVD), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters

|  | PQ | Tree |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 184 | (BWI, JFK) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 187 | (JFK, BOS) |  |
| 337 | (SFO, LAX) |  |
| 621 | (BWI, ORD) |  |
| 740 | (ORD, JFK) |  |
| 802 | (DFW, ORD) |  |
| 849 | (ORD, PWD) |  |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) |  |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) |  |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) |  |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) |  |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) |  |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) |  |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) |  |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (JFK, PVD), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters

|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 187 | (JFK, BOS) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 337 | (SFO, LAX) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 621 | (BWI, ORD) |  |
| 740 | (ORD, JFK) |  |
| 802 | (DFW, ORD) |  |
| 849 | (ORD, PWD) |  |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) |  |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) |  |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) |  |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) |  |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) |  |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) |  |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) |  |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (BWI, JFK), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters

|  | PQ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 337 | (SFO, LAX) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 621 | (BWI, ORD) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 740 | (ORD, JFK) | (JFK, BOS) |
| 802 | (DFW, ORD) |  |
| 849 | (ORD, PWD) |  |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) |  |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) |  |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) |  |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) |  |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) |  |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) |  |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) |  |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (JFK,BOS), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters

|  | PQ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 621 | (BWI, ORD) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 740 | (ORD, JFK) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 802 | (DFW, ORD) | (JFK, BOS) |
| 849 | (ORD, PWD) | (SFO, LAX) |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) |  |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) |  |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) |  |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) |  |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) |  |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) |  |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) |  |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (SFO,LAX), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters

|  | PQ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 740 | (ORD, JFK) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 802 | (DFW, ORD) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 849 | (ORD, PWD) | (JFK, BOS) |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) | (SFO, LAX) |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) | (BWI, ORD) |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) |  |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) |  |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) |  |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) |  |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) |  |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (BWI, ORD), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters


## Kruskal's Algorithm - Example


(MIA, BOS)
(DFW, MIA)
(LAX, DFW)
(DFW, JFK)
(SFO, DFW)

$$
1846
$$

$$
2704
$$

2342

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (ORD, JFK), from PQ
- Ignore it, both endpoints are in the same cluster


|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 849 | (ORD, PWD) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) | (JFK, BOS) |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) | (SFO, LAX) |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) | (BWI, ORD) |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) | (DFW, ORD) |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) |  |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) |  |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (DFW, ORD), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters


|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 867 | (ORD, BOS) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) | (JFK, BOS) |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) | (SFO, LAX) |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) | (BWI, ORD) |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) | (DFW, ORD) |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) |  |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (ORD, PVD), from PQ
- Ignore it, both endpoints are in the same cluster

| $\boldsymbol{P Q}$ |  | Tree |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 946 | (MIA, BWI) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 1090 | (MIA, JFK) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 1121 | (DFW, MIA) | (JFK, BOS) |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) | (SFO, LAX) |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) | (BWI, ORD) |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) | (DFW, ORD) |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) |  |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) |  |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) |  |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) |  |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (ORD, BOS), from PQ
- Ignore it, both endpoints are in the same cluster

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (MIA, BWI), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters


|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1235 | (LAX, DFW) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) | (JFK, BOS) |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) | (SFO, LAX) |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) | (BWI, ORD) |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) | (DFW, ORD) |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) | (MIA, BWI) |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (DFW, MIA), from PQ
- Ignore it, both endpoints are in the same cluster

|  | $P Q$ | Tree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1258 | (MIA, BOS) | (JFK, PVD) |
| 1391 | (DFW, JFK) | (BWI, JFK) |
| 1464 | (SFO, DFW) | (JFK, BOS) |
| 1846 | (SFO, ORD) | (SFO, LAX) |
| 2704 | (SFO, BOS) | (BWI, ORD) |
| 2342 | (LAX, MIA) | (DFW, ORD) |
|  |  | (MIA, BWI) |
|  |  | (LAX, DFW) |

- Remove the minimum weight edge, (LAX, DFW), from PQ, add it to the tree, join clusters
- The graph contains 9 nodes
- The tree now contains 8 edges, so it is a MST - STOP.


## Kruskal's Algorithm - Running Time Analysis

- If the graph has $n$ vertices and $m$ edges
- Part I: ordering the edges
- Ordering the edges by weight takes $O(m \log m)$ time - either using a sorting algorithm directly, or a heap-based priority queue
- If using a heap-based priority queue, initialization takes $O(m \log m)$ - repeated insertions or $O(m)$ - bottom-up heap construction
- Each remove_min call takes $O(\log m)$ time
- In a simple graph, $m$ is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ - so $O(\log m)$ is the same as $O(\log n)$
- So the time needed for ordering $m$ edges is $O(m \log n)$


## Kruskal's Algorithm - Running Time Analysis (cont'd)

- Part II: managing the clusters. To implement Kruskal's algorithm, we need to be able to:
- Find the clusters for vertices $u$ and $v$, the endpoints of edge $e$
- Test whether the two clusters are distinct
- Merge two clusters into one
- We perform at most $2 m$ find operations, and at most $n-1$ union operations
- We need an efficient data structure for managing disjoint partitions - union-find
- Using the union-find structure the cluster operations in Kruskal's algorithm require $O(m+n \log n)$ time
- Thus the total running time of the algorithm is $O(m \log n)$


## Kruskal's Algorithm - Python Implementation

```
def MST_Kruskal(g):
    """Compute a minimum spanning tree of a graph using Kruskal's algorithm.
    Return a list of edges that comprise the MST.
    The elements of the graph's edges are assumed to be weights.
"""
tree = [] # list of edges in spanning tree
pq = HeapPriorityQueue( ) # entries are edges in G, with weights as key
forest = Partition( ) # keeps track of forest clusters
position = { } # map each node to its Partition entry
for v in g.vertices( ):
    position[v] = forest.make_group(v)
for e in g.edges():
    pq.add(e.element(), e) # edge's element is assumed to be its weight
```22
```

size = g.vertex_count()
while len(tree) != size - 1 and not pq.is_empty():
\# tree not spanning and unprocessed edges remain
weight,edge = pq.remove_min()
u,v = edge.endpoints()
a}=\mathrm{ forest.find(position[u])
b= forest.find(position[v])
if a != b:
tree.append(edge)
forest.union(a,b)
return tree

```

\section*{Disjoint Partitions and Union-Find Structures}

\section*{The Partition Data Structure}
- A Partition data structure manages a collection of elements organized into disjoint sets
- Each element can belong to one and only one of the sets in the partition
- We don't want to iterate through the elements of a partition, or be able to test if a given set includes a given element
- Rather, we want to be able to create sets containing certain elements, be able to merge them and also be able to find the group containing a particular element
- To avoid confusion, refer to the clusters of the partitions as groups
- The groups don't need an explicit internal structure
- To differentiate between groups, assume that each group has a designated entry called the leader of the group

\section*{Partition ADT}
- We define the following methods for the Partition ADT:
- make_group(x): Create a singleton group containing a new element \(x\) and return the position storing \(x\)
- union( \(p, q\) ): Merge the groups containing positions \(p\) and \(q\)
- find(p): Return the position of the leader of the group containing position \(p\)

\section*{Partition ADT - Sequence Implementation}
- Implement a partition with a total of \(n\) elements using a collection of sequences, one for each group
- The sequence for group \(A\) stores element positions
- Each Position object stores:
- A variable element which references its associated element \(x\) and allows the execution of an element() method in \(O(1)\) time
- A variable group which that references the sequence storing \(p\)

```

sequence-based
implementation of a partition
consisting of two groups:
A={1,4,7} and
C={5,8,10,11,12}

```

\section*{Partition ADT - Running Time for Sequence Implementation}
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline operation & running time \\
\hline make_group \((\mathrm{x})\) & \(O(1)\) \\
\hline find \((\mathrm{p})\) & \(O(1)\) \\
\hline union \((\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})\) & \(O(n)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- The make_group \((x)\) and find( \(p\) ) operations can be implemented in constant time, if the first position of a sequence is used as the leader
- The union \((p, q)\) operation requires two sequences to be joined into one; plus, the group references in one of the sequences have to be updated
- The time for the union \((\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})\) operation is \(\min (|A|,|B|)\) where \(A\) and \(B\) are the groups containing positions \(p\) and \(q-O(n)\) running time if there are \(n\) elements in the whole partition

\section*{Partition ADT - Tree-Based Implementation}
- Use a collection of trees to store the \(n\) elements of a partition, where each tree is associated with a different group
- Each position \(p\) is a node having
- An instance variable element referring to its element \(x\)
- An instance variable parent referring to its parent node
- By convention, if \(p\) is the root of its tree, then its parent reference is set to itself


\section*{Partition ADT - Tree-Based Implementation (cont'd)}
- Using the tree-based implementation the find(p) operation is performed by walking up from position \(p\) to the root of its tree - \(O(n)\) worst case time
- The union \((\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})\) operation is implemented by making one of the trees a subtree of the other: first locate the two roots, then set the parent reference of one root to point to the other
union \((2,5)\) operation

find(12) operation

\section*{Partition ADT - Tree-Based Implementation (cont'd)}
- Problem: finding the root might still take \(O(n)\) time if the tree is made of a long chain of nodes
- Solution 1: union-by-size
- with each position \(p\), also store the number of elements in the subtree rooted at \(p\)
- In a union operation, make the root of the smaller group become a child of the other root, and update the size field of the larger root
- Solution 2: path compression
- In a find operation, for each position \(q\) that find visits, reset the parent of \(q\) to the root

Path compression


\section*{Partition - Python Implementation}
```

class Partition:
"""Union-find structure for maintaining disjoint sets."""
\#-------------------------------------------------------
class Position:
__slots__ = '_container', '_element','_size','_parent'
def __init __(self, container, e):
"""Create a new position that is the leader of its own group.""
self._container = container \# reference to Partition instance
self._element =e
self._size = 1
self._parent = self \# convention for a group leader
def element(self):
"""Return element stored at this position.'
return self._element

```

\section*{Partition - Python Implementation (cont'd)}
```

\#------------------------ public Partition methods
def make_group(self, e):

```

```

    return self.Position(self, e)
    def find(self, p):
"""Finds the group containging p and return the position of its leader."""
if p._parent != p:
p._parent = self.find(p._parent) \# overwrite p._parent after recursion
return p._parent
def union(self, p,q):
"""Merges the groups containg elements p and q (if distinct).
a = self.find(p)
b = self.find(q)
if a is not b: \# only merge if different groups
if a._size > b._size:
b._parent = a
a._size += b._size
else:
a._parent = b
b._size += a._size

```
                .

\section*{Partition ADT - Running Time for Tree-Based Implementation}
- Proposition. When using a tree-based partition representation with both union-by-size and path compression, performing a series of \(k\) make_group, union and find operations on an initially empty partition involving at most \(n\) elements takes \(O\left(k \log ^{*} n\right)\) time.
- \(\log ^{*} n-\log\) star function
\begin{tabular}{|r|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \hline minimum \(n\) & 2 & \(2^{2}=4\) & \(2^{2^{2}}=16\) & \(2^{2^{2^{2}}}=65,536\) & \(2^{2^{2^{2^{2}}}}=2^{65,536}\) \\
\hline \(\log ^{*} n\) & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}
- A linear running time in practice, although it is theoretically not linear

\section*{Thank you.}```

